

**AMENDMENTS TO THE CLAIMS:**

This listing of claims will replace all prior versions and listings of claims in the application:

Listing of Claims:

1-19 (canceled).

20. **(Currently Amended)** An automated method of measurement of proximity of a second contour correspondent to a template contour provided by a database containing templates of determined objects to be recognized, to a first contour extracted from an image, comprising

a first step of associating each point of the first contour with a point of the second contour determined as the closest, and

a second step of pairing each point of the second contour with one point of the first contour if said one point of the first contour exists, by determining the point of the first contour which is closest from among the set of points of the first contour that are associated with said point of the second contour in said first step.

21. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 20, wherein the determination of a point that is closest to a given point is based on a true or discrete measure of the Euclidean distance between the two points.

22. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 21, comprising a step of allocating a measure of proximity  $\text{Dist}(M_i)$  of each point  $M_i$  of the second contour to the first contour, based on the measurement of the distance from this point to the point of the first contour with which it is paired.

23. **(Currently Amended)** The method as claimed in claim 22, wherein said distance measure ~~between two points of a pair~~ is a measure corrected as a function of the difference of class of orientation of the points of the pair considered.

24. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 20, wherein in the step of associating zero or one points of the second contour with each point of the first contour, the point that is closest from among the points of the second contour which have the same class of orientation as said point of the first contour is associated.

25. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 20, wherein the associating step uses a chamfer map of the second contour via which, at each point of the first contour with coordinates  $x$  and  $y$  applied as input, said map provides as output an identification of the point of the associated second contour and a measure of the proximity between the two points thus associated.

26. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 25, wherein with the second contour is associated a chamfer map per class of orientation, and for each point of the first contour, the associating step comprises a step of determining the class of the point of the first contour, so as to apply the coordinates  $(x,y)$  of this point as inputs to the chamfer map corresponding to said orientation class.

27. (Previously Presented) The method as claimed in claim 25, comprising eight orientation classes wherein the associating step uses a chamfer map of the second contour via which, at each point of the first contour with coordinates  $x$  and  $y$  applied as input, said map provides as output an identification of the point of the associated second contour and a measure of the proximity between the two points thus associated; and

the second contour is associated a chamfer map per class of orientation, and for each point of the first contour, the associating step comprises a step of determining the

class of the point of the first contour, so as to apply the coordinates (x,y) of this point as inputs to the chamfer map corresponding to said orientation class.

**28. (Canceled)**

**29. (Currently Amended)** An automated method of identification of targets in an image, comprising applying an automated method of measurement of proximity of a second contour to a first contour, to a second contour provided by a database containing templates of determined objects to be recognized, and a first contour extracted from an image, the said method of measurement comprising:

a first step of associating each point of the first contour, with a point of the second contour determined as the closest, and

a second step of pairing each point of the second contour with one point of the first contour if said one point of the first contour exists, by determining the point of the first contour which is closest from among the sets of points of a first contour that are associated with said point of the second contour is said first step, and

the identification method identification further ~~The method of identification as claimed in claim 28, comprising the allocation of a local score of proximity  $N(M_i)$  to each point  $M_i$  of the template second contour as a function of a measure of proximity of this point  $M_i$  to the first contour, according to the following criteria:~~

- $N(M_i)$  has a value lying between 0 and 1.
- $N(M_i) = 0$ , when said point is paired with zero points of the first contour;
- $N(M_i) = 1$ , when the proximity measure is equal to zero;
- $N(M_i)$  has a value of about 1 when the proximity measure lies between 0 and 1 pixels.

- $N(M_i)$  decreases very rapidly to 0 as soon as the proximity measure becomes greater than 1 pixel.

- $N(M_i)$  decreases according to a curve having a point of inflexion, in the neighborhood of a proximity measure of about 2 pixels.

$-N(M_i)$  has a quasi-zero value as soon as the proximity measure becomes greater than 3 pixels.

30. **(Currently Amended)** The method of identification as claimed in ~~claim 28~~claim 29, wherein the function for allocating the score of proximity to the point  $M_i$  may

be written:  $N(M_i) = \left( 0.5 - \arctan \frac{4(\text{Dist}(M_i) - 2)}{\pi} \right) \frac{1}{0.9604}$ .

31. **(Currently Amended)** The method of identification as claimed in ~~claim 30~~claim 29, comprising a step of measuring a global score  $\eta$  equal to the mean of the proximity scores relative to the number of points of the template contours.

32. **(Currently Amended)** The method of identification as claimed in ~~claim 28~~claim 31, applied successively to each of the template contours of a collection of template contours.

33. (Previously Presented) The method of identification as claimed in claim 32, wherein said collection is obtained from another method of identification of targets, such a method using a Hausdorff distance measure.

34. **(Currently Amended)** The method of identification as claimed in ~~claim 33~~claim 32, comprising a step of selecting hypotheses by comparison with a threshold of each of the global scores  $\eta$  allocated to each of the template contours of a collection of template contours.

35. (Previously Presented) The method of identification as claimed in claim 34, wherein said threshold is fixed at 0.6.

36. **(Currently Amended)** The method of identification as claimed in ~~claim 35~~claim 34, comprising a step of discriminating between hypotheses of template

contours which are superimposed, comprising for each pair of a first contour hypothesis and of a second contour hypothesis which are superimposed, a step of weighting the global score allocated to each of the template contours, said weighting step comprising the application of the method of measurement of proximity wherein:

by applying as second contour, the contour of said first hypothesis and as first contour, the contour of said second hypothesis, said proximity measure obtained for each point of contour of the first hypothesis being applied as weighting factor for the local score of proximity of this point to the image contour, and by deducing the global score associated with the first contour hypothesis representing its proximity to the image contour by calculating the mean of said weighted local scores,

by applying as second contour, the contour of said second hypothesis and as first contour, the contour of said first hypothesis, said proximity measure obtained for each point of contour of the first hypothesis being applied as weighting factor for the local score of proximity of this point to the image contour and by deducing the global score associated with the first contour hypothesis representing its proximity to the image contour by calculating the mean of said weighted local scores; and

a step of allocating a measure of proximity  $\text{Dist}(M_i)$  of each point  $M_i$  of the second contour to the first contour, based on the measurement of the distance from this point to the point of the first contour with which it is paired.

37. (Previously Presented) The method of identification as claimed in claim 36, wherein an hypothesis is adopted as best hypothesis of template contour, from among a plurality of hypotheses which are superimposed, that with which the best global score is associated.

38. **(Canceled)**

39. **(New)** An automated method of identification of targets in an image, comprising applying an automated method of measurement of proximity of a second contour to a first contour, to a second contour provided by a database containing

templates of determined objects to be recognized, and a first contour extracted from an image, the said method of measurement comprising

a first step of associating each point of the first contour, with a point of the second contour determined as the closest, and

a second step of pairing each point of the second contour with one point of the first contour if said one point of the first contour exists, by determining the point of the first contour which is closest from among the set of points of the first contour that are associated with said point of the second contour in said first step, and

the identification method further comprising the allocation of a local score of proximity  $N(M_i)$  to each point  $M_i$  of the second contour as a function of a measure of proximity of this point  $M_i$  to the first contour, which has a value lying between 0 and 1, which is equal to zero if it is paired to zero points of the first contour, and if it is paired to one point of the image contour, which is equal to a value that is the smaller the larger the distance between the two paired points.